

Before we start the solutions, recall the definition of a norm: A normed space $(X, \|.\|)$ is a vector space X together with a function $\|.\|: X \to \mathbb{R}$, the norm on X, such that for all $x, y \in X$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$:

- (1) Positivity: $0 \le ||x|| < \infty$ and ||x|| = 0 if and only if x = 0;
- (2) Homogeneity: $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$;
- (3) Triangle inequality: $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$.

I would advice you to pay attention to the last part of the positivity axiom - it states in particular that ||x|| = 0 must imply that x = 0.

a) Determine if the following expressions are norms for \mathbb{R}^3 .

- 1. $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = |x_1| + |x_2|;$
- 2. $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = |x_1| + (|x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2)^{1/2};$
- 3. $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (w_1 |x_1|^3 + w_2 |x_2|^2 + w_3 |x_3|)^{1/2}$ for some positive real numbers w_1, w_2, w_3 .
- **b)** Determine $||z||_1$, $||z||_2$ and $||z||_{\infty}$ for z = (1+i, 1-i) and $z = (e^{i\pi/2}, e^{3i\pi/2})$ in \mathbb{C}^2 .

Solution. a)

- 1. This is not a norm, since f(0, 0, a) = 0 for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence we do not have ||x|| = 0 if and only if x = 0, and positivity is not satisfied.
- 2. This function defines a norm. Let us check the axioms.
 - Positivity: Clearly $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) \ge 0$ for any $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and f(0) = 0. If $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 0$, then $|x_1| + (|x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2)^{1/2} = 0$, and since this is a sum of positive numbers each summand must be zero. Hence $x_1 = 0$ and $(|x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2)^{1/2} = 0$, and by a similar argument we then get $x_2 = x_3 = 0$.

- Homogeneity: If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $f(\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda x_3) = |\lambda x_1| + (|\lambda x_2|^2 + |\lambda x_3|^2)^{1/2} = |\lambda| |x_1| + (|\lambda|^2 |x_2|^2 + |\lambda|^2 |x_3|^2)^{1/2} = |\lambda| \left(|x_1| + (|x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2)^{1/2} \right)$
- Triangle inequality: We know from earlier courses and the lecture notes that $|x_1 + y_1| \leq |x_1| + |y_1|$ and $(|x_2 + y_2|^2 + |x_3 + y_3|^2)^{1/2} \leq (|x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2)^{1/2} + (|y_2|^2 + |y_3|^2)^{1/2}$. These are just two instances of the usual triangle inequality in \mathbb{R}^n for n = 1, 2. Combining these, we find for $(x_1, x_2, x_3), (y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$f(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3) = |x_1 + y_1| + (|x_2 + y_2|^2 + |x_3 + y_3|^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq |x_1| + |y_1| + (|x_2|^2 + |x_3|^2)^{1/2} + (|y_2|^2 + |y_3|^2)^{1/2}$$

$$= f(x_1, x_2, x_3) + f(y_1, y_2, y_3).$$

3. This f fails to satisfy the homogeneity axiom. For instance, we have that $f(0,0,3\cdot 2) = \sqrt{w_3|3\cdot 1|} = \sqrt{3}\sqrt{w_3|1|} = \sqrt{3}\sqrt{\omega_3}$. This is not equal to $3f(0,0,1) = 3\sqrt{\omega_3}$.

b)

- 1. If z = (1+i, 1-i), then $||z||_1 = |1+i| + |1-i| = 2\sqrt{2}$ (I trust that you are able to calculate |1+i| and similar expressions). Similarly $||z||_2 = \sqrt{|1+i|^2 + |1-i|^2} = \sqrt{2+2} = 2$. Finally $||z||_{\infty} = \sup\{|i+1|, |1-i|\} = \sup\{\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}\} = \sqrt{2}$.
- 2. If $z = (e^{i\pi/2}, e^{3i\pi/2})$, then $||z||_1 = |e^{i\pi/2}| + |e^{3i\pi/2}| = 2$. Similarly $||z||_2 = \sqrt{|e^{i\pi/2}|^2 + |e^{3i\pi/2}|^2} = \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{2}$. Finally $||z||_{\infty} = \sup\{|e^{i\pi/2}|, |e^{3i\pi/2}|\} = \sup\{1, 1\} = 1$.
- 2 Draw the set $\{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | |x_1|^{1/2} + |x_2|^{1/2} \leq 1\}$ and determine if it is convex. Discuss the link between the aforementioned set and the property of $f(x_1, x_2) := |x_1|^{1/2} + |x_2|^{1/2}$ being a norm for \mathbb{R}^2 .

Solution. The set is drawn in figure 1. This set is clearly not convex - a straight line from (1,0) to (1,1) is not contained in the set. This shows that f does not define a norm. If f did define a norm, then the set pictured would be the closed unit ball (i.e. set of points such that $||x|| \leq 1$) in that norm. However, in a normed space the closed unit ball must always be convex, by lemma 3.1 of the lecture notes.

3 Let X be a vector space and $\|.\|_a$ and $\|.\|_b$ norms on x. Show that $\|x\| := (\|x\|_a^2 + \|x\|_b^2)^{1/2}$ defines a norm on X.

Try to define a variant of this norm for $p \neq 2$ and contemplate about a possible proof of this statement.



Figure 1: A drawing of the set in exercise 2.

Solution. The case p=2

 $\|x\|:=(\|x\|_a^2+\|x\|_b^2)^{1/2}$ is a norm, because

- 1. Positivity: ||x|| = 0 if and only if $||x||_a = 0$ and $||x||_b = 0$, which is the case only if x = 0.
- 2. Homogeneity: $\|\lambda x\| = (\|\lambda x\|_a^2 + \|\|ax\|_b^2)^{1/2} = (\lambda^2 \|x\|_a^2 + \lambda^2 \|x\|_b^2)^{1/2} = |\lambda| (\|x\|_a^2 + \|x\|_b^2)^{1/2} = |\lambda| \|x\|.$
- 3. Triangle inequality: By definition and the triangle inequalities for $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$

$$||x + y|| = (||x + y||_a^2 + ||x + y||_b^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left[(||x||_a + ||y||_a)^2 + (||x||_b + ||y||_b)^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

By the Minkowski inequality for p = 2, we then find that

$$\left[(\|x\|_a + \|y\|_a)^2 + (\|x\|_b + \|y\|_b)^2 \right]^{1/2} \le (\|x\|_a^2 + \|x\|_b^2)^{1/2} + (\|y\|_a^2 + \|y\|_b^2)^{1/2}$$

= $\|x\| + \|y\|.$

Extension to $p \neq 2$

The natural extension of this norm for $p \neq 2$ is

 $||x||_p := (||x||_a^p + ||x||_b^p)^{1/p}$

for $p < \infty$, and

$$||x||_{\infty} := \max\{||x||_{a}, ||x||_{b}\}$$

for $p = \infty$. The reason why this is the natural extension, is that we define our norms to be the ℓ^p -norms of the pair $(||x||_a, ||x||_b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Hopefully we will then be able to use the triangle inequality for the ℓ^p -spaces to deduce the triangle inequality for our new norms.

We need to check that $\|\cdot\|_p$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ satisfy the three axioms for being a norm. Let us start with $\|\cdot\|_p$.

- 1. Positivity: Clearly $||x||_p$ is positive, since $||x||_a$ and $||x||_b$ are positive numbers, and similarly $||0||_p = 0$ since $||0||_a = 0 = ||0||_b$. If $||x||_p = 0$, then $||x||_a^p + ||x||_b^p = 0$, and since this is a sum of positive numbers each summand must be 0. In particular $||x||_a = 0$, which implies that x = 0 since $||\cdot||_a$ is a norm.
- 2. Homegeneity: For λ a scalar, we have $\|\lambda x\|_p = (\|\lambda x\|_a^p + \|\lambda x\|_b^p)^{1/p} = (|\lambda|^p \|x\|_a^p + |\lambda|^p \|x\|_b^p)^{1/p} = |\lambda| \|x\|_p$, where the main step is to use that $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$ are homogeneous by assumption.
- 3. Triangle inequality: Let $x, y \in X$. We find that

$$||x + y||_p = (||x + y||_a^p + ||x + y||_b^p)^{1/p}$$

$$\leq [(||x||_a + ||y||_a)^p + (||x||_b + ||y||_b)^p]^{1/p}$$

by using the triangle inequalities for $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$. Now recall the Minkowski inequality for \mathbb{R}^2 (which is also the triangle inequality on \mathbb{R}^2 with the ℓ^p norm). It says that if $(a, b), (c, d) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then

$$||(a,b) + (c,d)||_{\ell^p} = [(|a+c|)^p + (|b+d|)^p]^{1/p} \le (|a|^p + |b|^p)^{1/p} + (|c|^p + |d|^p)^{1/p}.$$

Let us now pick $a = ||x||_a$, $b = ||y||_a$, $c = ||x||_b$ and $d = ||y||_b$. The Minkowski inequality then says that

$$[(\|x\|_a + \|y\|_a)^p + (\|x\|_b + \|y\|_b)^p]^{1/p} \le (\|x\|_a^p + \|x\|_b^p)^{1/p} + (\|y\|_a^p + \|y\|_b^p)^{1/p} = \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p.$$

Now consider $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.

- 1. Positivity: Since $||x||_{\infty}$ is the maximum of two positive numbers, it must be positive. Also, $||0||_{\infty} = \max\{0, 0\} = 0$. Finally, if $||x||_{\infty} = 0$, then in particular $||x||_{a} = 0$, and since $||\cdot||_{a}$ is a norm we must have x = 0.
- 2. Homogeneity: If λ is a scalar, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda x\|_{\infty} &= \max\{\|\lambda x\|_{a}, \|\lambda x\|_{b}\}\\ &= \max\{|\lambda|\|x\|_{a}, |\lambda|\|x\|_{b}\}\\ &= |\lambda|\max\{\|x\|_{a}, \|x\|_{b}\} = \lambda\|x\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

3. Triangle inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|x+y\|_{\infty} &= \max\{\|x+y\|_{a}, \|x+y\|_{b}\}\\ &\leq \max\{\|x\|_{a} + \|y\|_{a}, \|x\|_{b} + \|y\|_{b}\}\\ &\leq \max\{\|x\|_{a}, \|x\|_{b}\} + \max\{\|y\|_{a}, \|y\|_{b}\} = \|x\|_{\infty} + \|y\|_{\infty}.\end{aligned}$$

The first inequality is a result of the triangle inequality for the norms $\|\cdot\|_a$ and $\|\cdot\|_b$. The second inequality is a property of taking the maximum of sums of real number - make sure that you see why we need an inequality rather than an equality.

4 Let $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ be the vector space of $n \times n$ matrices. Define for $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ the function $||A||_2 = (\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2)^{1/2}$. Show that $||.||_2$ is a norm on $M_n(\mathbb{R})$. The trace of a matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is defined as the sum of its diagonal elements, $\operatorname{tr}(A) = a_{11} + \cdots + a_{nn}$. Prove that $||A||_2^2 = \operatorname{tr}(A^T A)$. If the general case is to difficult, try to do it for n = 3.

Solution. We first show that $\|\cdot\|_2$ defines a norm on $M_n(\mathbb{R})$. Intuitively, this space is exactly the same as \mathbb{R}^{n^2} with the ℓ^2 -norm. Let us check the axioms:

- 1. Positivity: $||A||_2$ is obviously positive for any matrix A. Also, the zero element of $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ is the zero matrix, i.e. the matrix 0 where all entries are zero. Clearly $||0||_2 = (\sum_{i,j=1}^n |0|^2)^{1/2} = 0$. If $||A||_2 = 0$ for some matrix A, we have by the definition that $\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 = 0$. Since this is a sum of positive numbers $|a_{ij}|$ whose sum is 0, we must have that every $a_{ij} = 0$, hence A = 0 - the zero matrix.
- 2. Homogeneity: Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$. Then λA is defined by multiplying every entry of A by λ , so that the entries of λA are λa_{ij} . We then find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda A\|_{2} &= \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |\lambda a_{ij}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |\lambda|^{2} |a_{ij}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} \\ &= |\lambda| \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} = |\lambda| \|A\|_{2} \end{aligned}$$

3. Triangle inequality: Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$, and let a_{ij} be the entries of A and b_{ij} the entries of B. Then the entries of A + B are $a_{ij} + b_{ij}$ (adding two matrices does of course correspond to adding each entry, as you know!). Hence, by using

the triangle inequality for \mathbb{R}^{n^2} in the $\ell^2\text{-norm:}$

$$\|A + B\|_{2} = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |a_{ij} + b_{ij}|^{2}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |a_{ij}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} + \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} |b_{ij}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} = \|A\|_{2} + \|B\|_{2}.$$

We now move on to show that $||A||_2^2 = \operatorname{tr}(A^T A)$. Since $\operatorname{tr}(A^T A)$ is the sum of the diagonal entries of $A^T A$, we start by studying these diagonal entries. Let us consider the multiplication of two arbitrary matrices A and B. If we write C = BA, we know that the entry c_{ij} is the dot product of row i of B with column j of $A^1 - c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{ik} a_{k,j}$. In our case we have $B = A^T$, and we are interested in the diagonal entries c_{ii} of the product $A^T A$. As we discussed, c_{ii} is the dot product of row i of A^T with column i of A. But by definition row i of A^T is column i of A - hence c_{ii} is actually just the dot product of column i of A with itself! In detail, we have $c_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ji}^2$. If we now sum all the diagonal entries c_{ii} , we get that

$$\operatorname{tr}(A^{T}A) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{ii}$$
$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{ji}^{2}$$
$$= ||A||_{2}^{2}.$$

Hence $\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}(A^T A)} = ||A||_2.$

If this seemed a bit too abstract, let us show the reasoning on 3×3 -matrices. Consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $||A||_2 = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^3 a_{ij}^2}$. Clearly

$$A^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & a_{31} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{32} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & a_{33} \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we multiply these matrices (focusing on the diagonal), we get that

$$A^{T}A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{2} + a_{21}^{2} + a_{31}^{2} \\ a_{12}^{2} + a_{22}^{2} + a_{32}^{2} \\ a_{13}^{2} + a_{23}^{2} + a_{33}^{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Clearly, if we sum over all the diagonal entries of $A^T A$, we will obtain the sum $\sum_{i,j=1}^{3} a_{ij}^2$, which is exactly $||A||_2^2$.

¹There is nothing mysterious about this, it is the way you were taught to multiply matrices.

5 Let $(X, \|.\|)$ be a normed vector space. Show that for any $x, y \in X$ we have

$$|||x|| - ||y||| \le ||x - y||.$$

Solution. An inequality with absolute values, such as this one, can equivalently be written as a set of two equations with no absolute values²:

$$||x|| - ||y|| \le ||x - y||$$

-||x - y|| \le ||x|| - ||y||.

The first of these inequalities follows from writing x = y + (x - y) and using the triangle inequality:

$$||x|| = ||y + (x - y)|| \le ||y|| + ||x - y||.$$

If we subtract ||y|| from both sides, we end up with $||x|| - ||y|| \le ||x - y||$. The second inequality is proved similarly, by writing y = x + (y - x) and using the triangle inequality:

$$||y|| = ||x + (y - x)|| \le ||x|| + ||x - y||.$$

Here we use that ||y - x|| = ||x - y||. Subtracting ||y|| and ||x - y|| from both sides, we have that $-||x - y|| \le ||x|| - ||y||$. We have proved both inequalities, and therefore proved the original inequality with the absolute value.

 $^{^2\}mathrm{This}$ should be known from Matte 1 or equivalent courses, but make sure that you understand it.